



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	N NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/821,587	03/29/2001		Darin Wayne Higgins	108344.00020	3453
22852	7590	07/11/2003			
	, HENDEI	RSON, FARAE	EXAMINER		
LLP 1300 I STREI	•	2005	WANG, JIN CHENG		
WASHINGTO	JN, DC 20	0003		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2672	α
			DATE MAILED: 07/11/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

٠ ,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	···			
Advisory Action	09/821,587	HIGGINS ET AL.	$\sqrt{2}$			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jin-Cheng Wang	2672				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addr	ess			
THE REPLY FILED 29 March 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverter, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE con which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 136(a) and the appropriate e fee. The appropriate exte the final Office action; or (ee MPEP extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	l amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NC	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	re newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:		•				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disap	proved by the Exam	iner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·				
10. Other:			•			
S. Ratent and Trademark Office	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					



1) The applicant argues in essense with respect to the amended claim 1 and similar claims that Tamano does not teach or suggest at least the step of displaying a first map in one area of a display wherein the first map is a vector map. In response, the examiner asserts that Tamano DOES teach the step of displaying a first map wherein the first map is a vector.

In column 6, lines 32-67 of Tamano, it is stated "The choice of object that thereby causes the image information to correspond thereto (Step 210) will be described below with reference to FIG. 8. An object in the displayed second image information 2 is chosen by the input device 10 in accordance with the program 19 (Step 2100). For example, as shown in FIG. 10(a), a cursor 103 is moved onto an object to be chosen, and an instruction to choose the object is input. The cursor 103 for choosing the object may be manipulated by amouse or a pen input device, or may also be chosen by moving a finger or hand on a touch panel attached to the display screen. Then, it is determined whether a correspondence relative to the object input in Step 2100 is already present in the file 6 (Step 2110). If no correspondence is present, a correspondence is stored (Step 240). If there is a correspondence, this correspondence is displayed in accordance with the program 17 (Step 2130). FIG. 10(c) shows one example of a screen on which the correspondence is displayed. On method of displaying the correspondence is to display a part 105 in the first image information 1 that corresponds to a chosen object 104 in the second image information 2, in a manner different from the manner in which the other parts are displayed. Such different displays can be realized by varying the luminance, color, shape, pattern or line thickness of the part 105 or by blincking the display of the part 105."

In column 4, lines 26-53 of Tamano, it is stated "A residence map is one example of the first image information 1...As one example of the second image information 2, a road map which describes the status of roads is employed."

The examiner asserts that the second image information 2 such as a road map of Tamano could be a vector map. Support for a road map being a vector map can be found in the newly cited reference (U.S. Patent No. 6,587,305 to Kambe).

Therefore, putting the new limitation of "the first map being a vector map" does not overcome the reference cited in the FINAL REJECTION NOR does it render the amended claim 1 (AFTER FINAL) allowable because Tamano teaches all the elements of the amended claim 1 as currently drafted.

2) Applicant argues in essence with respect to the amended claim 1 and similar claims that Tamano does not teach, disclose or suggest the step of displaying a first map in one area of a dislay wherein the first map is a vector map, and in fact, it specifically teaches away from this feature. In response, the examiner asserts that Tamano teaches the step of displaying a first map in one area of a display wherein the first map is a vector map (U.S. Patent No. 6,587,305 teaches the fact that a road map is a vector map). Tamano further teaches an image map instead of a vector map and therefore the first image map information 1 is simply an image map instead of a vector map in certain implementation of Tamano instead of the prior art's teaching that the first image map information 1 is a vector map (this reinforces the fact that other prior art teaches as well the claim limitation) because the second image map information 2 is a vector map. Therefore, Tamano does not teach away from this feature.

In summary Tamano teaches all the limiations of the amended claim 1 and similar claims as currently drafted.

MICHAEL RAZAVI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

2